

# Practical guide to loop integration

## Exercise Sheet 2

**Exercise 1:** Sunset diagram Consider the following integral

$$I(a_1, a_2, a_3; \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{N} \times \text{Sunset Diagram} = \int [dk_1][dk_2] \frac{\mathcal{N}}{[k_1^2]^{a_1} [k_2^2]^{a_2} [(k_1 - k_2 - p)^2 - m^2]^{a_3}} \quad (1)$$

with  $p^2 = m^2 \neq 0$  and an arbitrary numerator  $\mathcal{N}$ .

- Find a complete family. We know that it will need  $\ell(1 + \ell + 2\rho)/2 = 5$  propagators.
- Identify the sectors in which all integrals vanish.
- Consider the IBP generated through  $\partial_{k_1^\mu}(k_1^\mu I)$ . Use it to show that

$$\int [dk_1][dk_2] \frac{k_2 \cdot p}{[k_1^2] [k_2^2] [(k_1 - k_2 - p)^2 - m^2]^2} = \frac{3-d}{2} \int [dk_1][dk_2] \frac{1}{[k_1^2] [k_2^2] [(k_1 - k_2 - p)^2 - m^2]} \quad (2)$$

- Now find all six seed identities as a function of  $a_1, \dots, a_5$ .
- Implement Laporta's algorithm to solve the system up to  $r = 4$  and  $s = 1$  for sector 7 and its subsectors.

**SOLUTION:**

- We choose to complete the family as follow

$$I(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) = \int [dk_1][dk_2] \frac{1}{[k_1^2]^{a_1} [k_2^2]^{a_2} [(k_1 - k_2 - p)^2 - m^2]^{a_3} [k_1 \cdot p]^{a_4} [k_2 \cdot p]^{a_5}} .$$

Note that this is not a unique solution

b) The sectors 1-6 are zero

$$I(a_1, 0, 0, 0, 0) = \int [dk_1][dk_2] \frac{1}{[k_1^2]^{a_1}},$$

$$I(0, a_2, 0, 0, 0) = \int [dk_1][dk_2] \frac{1}{[k_2^2]^{a_2}},$$

$$I(a_1, a_2, 0, 0, 0) = \int [dk_1][dk_2] \frac{1}{[k_1^2]^{a_1} [k_2^2]^{a_2}},$$

$$I(0, 0, a_3, 0, 0) = \int [dk_1][dk_2] \frac{1}{[(k_1 - k_2 - p)^2 - m^2]^{a_3}},$$

$$I(a_1, 0, a_3, 0, 0) = \int [dk_1][dk_2] \frac{1}{[k_1^2]^{a_1} [(k_1 - k_2 - p)^2 - m^2]^{a_3}}.$$

c) From

$$0 = \int [dk_1][dk_2] \partial_{k_1^\mu} \left( k_1^\mu \frac{1}{[k_1^2]^{a_1} [k_2^2]^{a_2} [(k_1 - k_2 - p)^2 - m^2]^{a_3} [k_1 \cdot p]^{a_4} [k_2 \cdot p]^{a_5}} \right)$$

we find

$$0 = (d - 2a_1 - a_3 - a_4) - a_3 \mathbf{1}^- \mathbf{3}^+ + a_3 \mathbf{2}^- \mathbf{3}^+ + 2a_3 \mathbf{5}^- \mathbf{3}^+.$$

with  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 1$  and  $a_4 = a_5 = 0$ , we find our relation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (d - 3)I(1, 1, 1, 0, 0) - I(0, 1, 2, 0, 0) + I(1, 0, 2, 0, 0) + 2I(1, 1, 2, 0, -1) \\ \Rightarrow I(1, 1, 2, 0, -1) &= \frac{3-d}{2}I(1, 1, 1, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

d) We find

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -2a_1 - a_3 - a_4 + d - a_3 \mathbf{1}^- \mathbf{3}^+ + a_3 \mathbf{2}^- \mathbf{3}^+ + 2a_3 \mathbf{5}^- \mathbf{3}^+ \\ 0 &= -a_1 + a_3 - a_1 \mathbf{2}^- \mathbf{1}^+ + a_1 \mathbf{3}^- \mathbf{1}^+ + 2a_1 \mathbf{4}^- \mathbf{1}^+ - 2a_1 \mathbf{5}^- \mathbf{1}^+ - a_3 \mathbf{1}^- \mathbf{3}^+ \\ &\quad + a_3 \mathbf{2}^- \mathbf{3}^+ + 2a_3 \mathbf{4}^- \mathbf{3}^+ - a_4 \mathbf{5}^- \mathbf{4}^+ \\ 0 &= -2a_1 \mathbf{4}^- \mathbf{1}^+ - 2a_3 \mathbf{4}^- \mathbf{3}^+ + 2a_3 \mathbf{5}^- \mathbf{3}^+ + 2a_3 m^2 \mathbf{3}^+ - a_4 m^2 \mathbf{4}^+ \\ 0 &= -a_2 + a_3 - a_2 \mathbf{1}^- \mathbf{2}^+ + a_2 \mathbf{3}^- \mathbf{2}^+ + 2a_2 \mathbf{4}^- \mathbf{2}^+ - 2a_2 \mathbf{5}^- \mathbf{2}^+ + a_3 \mathbf{1}^- \mathbf{3}^+ \\ &\quad - a_3 \mathbf{2}^- \mathbf{3}^+ - 2a_3 \mathbf{5}^- \mathbf{3}^+ - a_5 \mathbf{4}^- \mathbf{5}^+ \\ 0 &= -2a_2 - a_3 - a_5 + d + a_3 \mathbf{1}^- \mathbf{3}^+ - a_3 \mathbf{2}^- \mathbf{3}^+ - 2a_3 \mathbf{4}^- \mathbf{3}^+ \\ 0 &= -2a_2 \mathbf{5}^- \mathbf{2}^+ + 2a_3 \mathbf{4}^- \mathbf{3}^+ - 2a_3 \mathbf{5}^- \mathbf{3}^+ - 2a_3 m^2 \mathbf{3}^+ - a_5 m^2 \mathbf{5}^+ \end{aligned}$$

e) The implementation can be found online:

<https://gitlab.com/yannickulrich/loop-integration/-/blob/root/code/sheet2.m>

## Exercise 2: Sunset diagram using computer codes

Consider again the same integral (1) but now with  $p^2 = s \neq m^2$ . Perform the reduction using `reduze` or `kira` for all integrals with  $r \leq 4$  and  $s \leq 1$ .

- Make a list of all 75 integrals you want to calculate using a computer program.
- Perform the reduction of all integrals without specifying a basis of master integrals. How many master integrals do you find?
- Consider the following possible choices of master integrals. Which ones do you prefer and why?

$$\vec{I}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} I(1,1,1;1) \\ I(1,1,2;1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{I}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} I(1,1,1;1) \\ I(1,1,1;p \cdot k_1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{I}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} I(1,2,1;1) \\ I(1,1,2;1) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

### SOLUTION:

- We can use the following Mathematica program

```
RST[sunset[a_]] := {
  (* r *) Total[Select[{a}, # > 0 &]],
  (* s *) -Total[Select[{a}, # < 0 &]],
  (* t *) Length[Select[{a}, # > 0 &]]
}
IsInRange[{r_, s_, t_}] := 0 <= r <= 4 && s <= 1 && t >= 2
request = Select[
  Flatten@Outer[
    sunset,
    {-1, 0, 1, 2}, (* propagator 1 *)
    {-1, 0, 1, 2}, (* propagator 2 *)
    {-1, 0, 1, 2}, (* propagator 3 *)
    {0, -1}, (* auxiliary propagator 1 *)
    {0, -1} (* auxiliary propagator 1 *)
  ],
  IsInRange@*RS
];
Export["req.txt", request]
```

- To set up `reduze` and `kira` we need to first specify the kinematics as `config/kinematics.yaml`

```
kinematics:
  incoming_momenta: [p]
  outgoing_momenta: [q]
  momentum_conservation: [q,p]
  kinematic_invariants:
    - [s, 2]
    - [m2, 2]
```

```
scalarproduct_rules:
```

```
- [[p,p], "s"]
```

and the integral family as config/kinematics.yaml

```
integralfamilies:
```

```
- name: "sunset"
```

```
  loop_momenta: [k1, k2]
```

```
  propagators:
```

```
    - [ "k1", "0"]
```

```
    - [ "k2", "0"]
```

```
    - [ "k1-k2-p", "m2"]
```

```
    - { bilinear: [{"k1","p"}, "0"] }
```

```
    - { bilinear: [{"k2","p"}, "0"] }
```

Next we specify the job we want to execute

```
jobs:
```

```
- setup_sector_mappings: {}
```

```
- reduce_sectors:
```

```
  sector_selection:
```

```
    select_recursively:
```

```
      - [sunset, 7]
```

```
  identities:
```

```
    ibp:
```

```
      - { r: [t, 5], s: [0, 2] }
```

```
- select_reductions:
```

```
  input_file: req.txt
```

```
  output_file: tmp/req.txt.tmp
```

```
- reduce_files:
```

```
  equation_files: ["tmp/req.txt.tmp"]
```

```
  output_file: "tmp/req2.txt.sol"
```

```
  # preferred_masters_file: "masters2.m"
```

```
- export:
```

```
  input_file: tmp/req2.txt.sol
```

```
  output_file: req2.sol.m
```

```
  output_format: mma
```

```
  # preferred_masters_file: "masters2.m"
```

c) To change the basis we specify `preferred_masters_file` and rerun the reduction. Comparing the results we find that

- $\vec{I}_1$  introduces denominators of the form  $d-4$  meaning that we need to know the masters higher than  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)$
- $\vec{I}_2$  complicates the calculation of the masters
- $\vec{I}_3$  introduces complicated denominators of the form  $(3-d)(8-3d)$  which is not ideal.